# STAT 542: Statistical Learning

K-Nearest Neighbor and the Bias-Variance Trade-Off

Ruoqing Zhu, Ph.D. <rqzhu@illinois.edu>

Course Website: https://teazrq.github.io/stat542/

January 24, 2022

Department of Statistics University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

# **K-Nearest Neighbour**

• Let's consider a regression model,

$$Y = f(X) + \epsilon,$$

where  $E(\epsilon) = 0$  and  $Var(\epsilon) = \sigma^2$ .

- Collect a set of i.i.d. training data  $\mathcal{D}_n = \{x_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^n$
- From  $\mathcal{D}_n$ , estimate the regression function as  $\hat{f}$  ("*f*-hat").
- Predict the value of testing data Y at a target point  $x_0$ .

- *k*-Nearest Neighbour (*k*NN) is a nonparametric method that predicts a target point *x*<sub>0</sub> with the average of nearby observations in the training data
- For regression, the prediction at a given target point x<sub>0</sub> is

$$\widehat{y} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{x_i \in N_k(x_0)} y_i,$$

where  $N_k(x)$  defines a set of k samples from the training data (in terms of their feature values) that are closest to  $x_0$ .

Can also be used for classification

#### Example

Data with only 1 feature from uniform  $[0, 2\pi]$ . The true model (blue) is

 $Y = 2\sin(X) + \epsilon,$ 

where  $\epsilon$  is standard normal error. We fit the data with 1NN.



#### Simulate 200 observations, and see how the model changes over k.



#### Simulate 200 observations, and see how the model changes over k.



The model becomes "smoother" as k increases. However, this eventually deviates from the truth if k is too large.



## The Bias-variance Trade-off

- We can see when k is small, the estimated model is unstable.
- Also, each time we observe a new training data, we may get a very different estimation. (due to the closest sample and *ϵ*)
- The statistical quantity to describe this property is the variance of the estimator  $\hat{f}$ .
- For a target point  $x_0$ , variance of  $\widehat{f}(x_0)$  is

$$\operatorname{Var}(\widehat{f}(x_0)) = \mathsf{E}\left[\left(\widehat{f}(x_0) - \mathsf{E}\widehat{f}(x_0)\right)^2\right]$$

- When *k* is large, the estimated model eventually deviates (systematically) from the truth.
- The statistical quantity to describe this property is the bias of the estimator  $\hat{f}$ .
- For a target point  $x_0$ , bias of  $\widehat{f}(x_0)$  is

$$\mathsf{Bias}\big(\widehat{f}(x_0)\big) = f(x_0) - \mathsf{E}\widehat{f}(x_0)$$

#### An accurate prediction

· Using squared-error loss, the prediction error is

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{Err}(x_{0}) \\ &= \mathsf{E}_{\mathcal{D}_{n},Y_{0}} \Big[ \big( Y_{0} - \hat{f}(x_{0}) \big)^{2} \Big] \\ &= \mathsf{E}_{\mathcal{D}_{n},Y_{0}} \Big[ \big( Y_{0} - f(x_{0}) + f(x_{0}) - \mathsf{E}_{\mathcal{D}_{n}} \hat{f}(x_{0}) + \mathsf{E}_{\mathcal{D}_{n}} \hat{f}(x_{0}) - \hat{f}(x_{0}) \big)^{2} \Big] \\ &= \dots \\ &= \underbrace{\mathsf{E}_{Y_{0}} \Big[ \big( Y_{0} - f(x_{0}) \big)^{2} \Big]}_{\mathsf{Irreducible Error}} + \underbrace{\big( f(x_{0}) - \mathsf{E}_{\mathcal{D}_{n}} \hat{f}(x_{0}) \big)^{2}}_{\mathsf{Bias}^{2}} + \underbrace{\mathsf{E}_{\mathcal{D}_{n}} \Big[ \big( \hat{f}(x_{0}) - \mathsf{E}_{\mathcal{D}_{n}} \hat{f}(x_{0}) \big)^{2} \Big]}_{\mathsf{Variance}} \end{aligned}$$

· All the cross terms are zero

- · The prediction error is a sum of three terms
- $E[(Y f(x))^2] = \sigma^2$  is the irreducible error term that cannot be avoided, because we cannot predict  $\epsilon$
- Of course, we want to minimize both Bias<sup>2</sup> and the Variance, however, this is not always possible...

- The first instinct is that one should minimize the bias  $^2$  term  $\mathsf{E}[(f(x_0)-\mathsf{E}\widehat{f}(x))^2]$
- Why producing a model that is asymptotically incorrect, i.e.,  $f(x_0) \neq \mathsf{E}\widehat{f}(x)$ ?
- This instinct is wrong! This is usually at the expense of high variance, which eventually damages the prediction performance...
- We already see this with 1NN

#### An accurate prediction

- 1NN has small Bias<sup>2</sup>, as the closet neighbor converges to the target point  $x_0$  as  $n \to \infty$
- However, the variance is large because the estimator only uses
  one observation

$$\mathsf{E}\big[(\widehat{f}(x_0) - \mathsf{E}\widehat{f}(x_0))^2\big] = \mathsf{E}\epsilon^2 = \sigma^2.$$

• If we use more "neighbouring" points, say k, the variance would reduce to approximately  $\sigma^2/k$ . But the bias<sup>2</sup> will increase as neighbours are far away from  $x_0$ .

- · A related concept is the model complexity
- In linear regression, this is simply the degrees of freedom
- For kNN, k determines the model complexity
- e.g., when k = N, this is just the sample mean, and the model is very simple

#### Model Complexity, over- and under-fitting

- Model complexity  $\uparrow$  (small k)  $\longrightarrow$  Bias<sup>2</sup>  $\downarrow$  and Variance  $\uparrow$
- Model complexity  $\downarrow$  (large k)  $\longrightarrow$  Bias<sup>2</sup>  $\uparrow$  and Variance  $\downarrow$



- As we can see, model complexity, bias-variance trade-off and over- and under-fitting are usually related concepts
- Over-fitting happens when a model performs well on the training data, but not on the testing data
- How to choose k to prevent over-fitting?

### *k*-fold Cross-validation

- · Randomly split the data into 10 portions
- · Fit the model using 9 portions as training data
- · Calculate the testing error using the remaining portion
- · Alternate the testing set and average all testing errors



- · Cross-validation has many variations
- A *k*-fold cross-validation is random and the result can be affected by the randomness
- We could repeatedly run k-fold cross-validation several times
- Leave-one-out cross-validation is deterministic, but takes longer to run
- · Repeated random sub-sampling is another choice

# **Model Complexity**

### **Degrees-of-freedom and Model Complexity**

- Degrees-of-freedom and model complexity are related concepts, and they can be used to prevent over-fitting
- For *k*NN, the tuning parameter *k* directly controls both
- For some other models, a penalized framework is used to control complexity

```
\underset{f}{\arg\min} \ \log(f) + \lambda \operatorname{complexity}(f)
```

· More examples: Lasso, Ridge, tree models, etc.

- Sometime the model complexity can be measured using the degrees-of-freedom, e.g.,  $\ell_0$  penalty
- In linear regression, the degrees-of-freedom is the number of variables used in the model
- · A more general definition is

$$\mathsf{df}(\widehat{f}\,) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}\sum_{i=1}^n \mathsf{Cov}(\widehat{Y}_i,Y_i)$$

- Treat  $X_i = x_i$ 's as fixed values, not random
- +  $1/\sigma^2$  takes care of the variance of the random error term

• If we let  $\widehat{\mathbf{Y}} = (\widehat{Y}_1, \dots, \widehat{Y}_n)$  and  $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ , we can rewrite the definition as

$$\mathrm{df}(\widehat{f}) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}\mathrm{Trace}\Big(\mathrm{Cov}(\widehat{\mathbf{Y}},\mathbf{Y})\Big)$$

· This can be convenient for linear regression

We can easily verify several cases:

- For 1NN, df = n
- If  $\widehat{y}_i = \overline{y}$ , i.e., *n*NN, then df = 1
- For linear regression, df = p
- For kNN, df = n/k

The formula works for kernel methods too.

### *k*NN for Classification

#### **kNN for Classification**

For hard classification, the most prevalent class in  $N_k(x_0)$  is used

$$\widehat{y} = \underset{c \in C}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} \sum_{x_i \in N_k(x_0)} \mathbf{1}\{y_i = c\},$$

An example from the HTF textbook. (BLUE = 0, ORANGE = 1)



Similar to the regression case, the k-NN classification model does majority vote (the most prevalent class) within the neighborhood of a target point x. 1NN plot is a Voronoi tessellation



We fit *k*-NN classification model to the example. Of course, we would not expect 1NN to perform well...



As we further increase k, the model tends to be less complex. Compare 66NN with a linear model that uses only 3 parameters.



- 1NN error is no more than twice of the Bayes error, as  $n \to \infty$
- As  $n \to \infty$ , we have  $d(x_0, x_{1nn}) \to 0$ , where  $x_{1nn}$  is the closest neighbor of  $x_0$ . and we may assume that  $\mathsf{P}(Y|x_{1nn}) \to \mathsf{P}(Y|x_0)$
- The error of 1NN is

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{P}(Y = 1|x_0)[1 - \mathsf{P}(Y = 1|x_{1nn})] + [1 - \mathsf{P}(Y = 1|x_0)]\mathsf{P}(Y = 1|x_{1nn}) \\ \leq [1 - \mathsf{P}(Y = 1|x_{1nn})] + [1 - \mathsf{P}(Y = 1|x_0)] \\ \approx 2[1 - \mathsf{P}(Y = 1|x_0)] \\ = 2 \times \mathsf{Bayes \ Error} \end{split}$$

• This is a very crude bound, but it shows that if the noise is small, 1NN may be reasonable.

## Remarks

- kNN vs. linear model
- · Distance measure
- · Computational issue
- · Curse of dimensionality
- · Double descent

- The goal is to approximate  $f(x) = \mathsf{E}(Y|X = x)$
- Linear regression makes a structural assumption: *f* is linear.
  - low variance: Number of parameters is p (fixed); we know that when sample size n grows, the variance of  $\hat{\beta}$  is  $\propto 1/n$ .
  - high bias (underfit): linear assumption is very restrictive
- *k*NN makes on assumption on *f*, except some smoothness.
  - low bias (overfit): flexible and adaptive. It can be shown that as if  $k \to \infty$  and  $n/k \to 0$ , *k*NN is consistent.
  - high variance: number of parameters for kNN is roughly n/k;

#### k-Nearest Neighbour in Classification

An "U" shaped prediction error curve is again observed for the testing sample (Figure from HTF):



k - Number of Nearest Neighbors

Degrees of Freedom – N/k

- Closeness between two points needs to be defined based on some distance measures
- By default, we use Euclidean distance ( $\ell_2$  norm) for continuous variables

$$d^{2}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) = \|\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v}\|_{2}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} (u_{i} - v_{i})^{2}$$

Hence the neighbourhood is not invariant to the scaling of the variables.

• We often scale the variables marginally when using *k*NN, so that the distance is

$$d^2(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) = \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{(u_i - v_i)^2}{\sigma_j^2}$$

where  $\sigma_i^2$  is the variance of variable *j*.

Mahalanobis distance is also scale-invariant and takes care of correlation

$$d^2(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v}) = (\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{v})^{\mathsf{T}} \Sigma^{-1}(\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{v}),$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  is a covariance matrix.

· Hamming distance is usually used for categorical variables

### Example: Handwritten Digit Recognition Data

- Digits 0-9 scanned from envelopes by the U.S. Postal Service
- 7291 training samples, 2007 testing samples
- Apply kNN and calculate the errors
- 1NN with Euclidean distance gives 5.6% error rate
- 1NN with tangent distance (Simard et al., 1993) gives 2.6% error



- Need to store the entire training data for future prediction
- Prediction can be slow. Needs to calculate the distance from  $x_0$  to all training sample and sort them.
- · Some fast nearest neighbor search algorithms such as kd-tree
- A distance measure may affect accuracy

### **Curse of dimensionality**

- High-dimension low sample size  $(p \gg n)$ 
  - The resolution of the handwritten digit example is  $16\times 16=256$
  - Some common imaging data in medical are  $1024\times1024$  while only a few hundred samples are available
  - Strategy games (Go, StarCraft, etc.) may have a huge number of variables
- Curse of Dimensionality
  - For fixed n, as p increases, the data become sparse
  - As *p* increases, the number of possible models explodes (computation burden, variable selection necessary)

#### **Curse of Dimensionality**

- The curse of dimensionality is well illustrated by a subcubical neighborhood for uniform data in a unit cube.
- Suppose the sample points are evenly spread out on  $[0, 1]^p$ , and we want to fit k = 10 nearest neighbors with n = 1000. Let l be the edge length of the hyper-cube that contains all k-nearest neighbor of a test point. How big is l?



• 
$$l^p \approx \frac{k}{n}$$

- When p = 2, l = 0.1
- When p = 10, l = 0.63
- When p = 100, l = 0.955

#### **Curse of Dimensionality**

- Suppose we have sample points evenly spread out on [0,1]
- In ten dimensions we need to cover 80% of the range of each coordinate to capture 10% of the data.



Fraction of Volume

- However, in the previous handwritten digit problem, KNN seems to work pretty well. Why?
- There is potential lower dimensional subspace (manifold).
- Total volume of the data is much reduced there are more samples within the neighborhood of an existing sample

#### **Double Descent**

- Recent research shows that the bias-variance trade-off may not be everything
- E.g., deep learning models are always over-parameterized. However, they still have good performance.



Belkin, et al. "Reconciling modern machine-learning practice and the classical bias-variance trade-off." PNAS (2019)