## **Statistical Methods for Population Health**

Week 1: Introduction to Statistics

Ruoqing Zhu, Ph.D. <rqzhu@illinois.edu> July 12, 2022

Department of Statistics University Illinois Urbana-Champaign · Welcome to the Statistics section!

- · Welcome to the Statistics section!
- This is a sequence of three lectures (I call them guided TBL)

- · Welcome to the Statistics section!
- This is a sequence of three lectures (I call them guided TBL)
- Core skills
  - · Statistical principles
  - · Result interpretation
  - · Basic data analysis using R
  - Some modeling techniques

• Week 1: R Introduction and Statistical Principles

- · Week 1: R Introduction and Statistical Principles
- · Week 2: Testing Mean Differences and Associations

- · Week 1: R Introduction and Statistical Principles
- Week 2: Testing Mean Differences and Associations
- Week 3: Statistical Models for Multivariate Analysis

# The Lady Tasting Tea

### The Lady Tasting Tea Problem

 In 1920s Cambridge, England, a Lady, named Muriel Bristol, claimed to be able to tell whether the tea or the milk was added first by the taste of it!



"The Lady Tasting Tea: How Statistics Revolutionized Science in the Twentieth Century" (2001) by David Salsburg

### The Lady Tasting Tea Problem

- In 1920s Cambridge, England, a Lady, named Muriel Bristol, claimed to be able to tell whether the tea or the milk was added first by the taste of it!
- A statistician Ronald Fisher what to test if thats true or not using probability principles



"The Lady Tasting Tea: How Statistics Revolutionized Science in the Twentieth Century" (2001) by David Salsburg

• Suppose Lady Bristol does not have that ability, then she will be randomly guessing

- Suppose Lady Bristol does not have that ability, then she will be randomly guessing
- Let's prepare many cups of tea for her to identify, then we would expect her to identify, on average, half of them correctly.

- Suppose Lady Bristol does not have that ability, then she will be randomly guessing
- Let's prepare many cups of tea for her to identify, then we would expect her to identify, on average, half of them correctly.
- However, if she can identify many of them correctly, then we may have to reject the assumption of random guessing

- Suppose Lady Bristol does not have that ability, then she will be randomly guessing
- Let's prepare many cups of tea for her to identify, then we would expect her to identify, on average, half of them correctly.
- However, if she can identify many of them correctly, then we may have to reject the assumption of random guessing
- The question is, how many is too many?

- Two important concepts:
  - 1. Experimental design
  - 2. Hypothesis testing



Sir Ronald A. Fisher (1890 - 1962) • Fisher prepared 8 cups of tea, 4 with milk added first and 4 with tea added first.

#### **Fisher's Exact Test**

- Fisher prepared 8 cups of tea, 4 with milk added first and 4 with tea added first.
- · Lady Bristol was asked to identify them
- There are totally  $\frac{8!}{4!(8-4)!} = 70$  possible results. If she is randomly guessing, then each result has equal chance:

#### **Fisher's Exact Test**

- Fisher prepared 8 cups of tea, 4 with milk added first and 4 with tea added first.
- · Lady Bristol was asked to identify them
- There are totally  $\frac{8!}{4!(8-4)!} = 70$  possible results. If she is randomly guessing, then each result has equal chance:
  - The chance of identifying all 4 correctly is 1/70
  - The chance of 3 is 16/70
  - The chance of 2 is 36/70
  - The chance of 1 is 16/70
  - The chance of 0 is 1/70

#### **Fisher's Exact Test**

- Fisher prepared 8 cups of tea, 4 with milk added first and 4 with tea added first.
- · Lady Bristol was asked to identify them
- There are totally  $\frac{8!}{4!(8-4)!} = 70$  possible results. If she is randomly guessing, then each result has equal chance:
  - The chance of identifying all 4 correctly is 1/70
  - The chance of 3 is 16/70
  - The chance of 2 is 36/70
  - The chance of 1 is 16/70
  - The chance of 0 is 1/70
- What can be considered as "surprising" evidence given the assumption that she is randomly guessing?

• Fisher used 0.05 as the cut-off:

If the actual result falls into the top 5% of the most extreme cases, we consider this as a surprising evidence and claim that she is not randomly guessing.

• Fisher used 0.05 as the cut-off:

If the actual result falls into the top 5% of the most extreme cases, we consider this as a surprising evidence and claim that she is not randomly guessing.

· How many cups Lady Bristol identified correctly?

1). Form Null and Alternative hypotheses:

Null  $H_0$ : Random Guessing vs. Alt.  $H_1$ : Not Random Guessing

1). Form Null and Alternative hypotheses:

Null  $H_0$ : Random Guessing vs. Alt.  $H_1$ : Not Random Guessing

2). Perform an experiment and observe that the lady identified the 4 correctly.

1). Form Null and Alternative hypotheses:

Null  $H_0$ : Random Guessing vs. Alt.  $H_1$ : Not Random Guessing

- 2). Perform an experiment and observe that the lady identified the 4 correctly.
- 3). If the Null hypothesis is correct, there is only 1.4% chance that one can guess 4 correctly

1). Form Null and Alternative hypotheses:

Null  $H_0$ : Random Guessing vs. Alt.  $H_1$ : Not Random Guessing

- 2). Perform an experiment and observe that the lady identified the 4 correctly.
- 3). If the Null hypothesis is correct, there is only 1.4% chance that one can guess 4 correctly
- 4). This is a "small probability event" (smaller than a pre-determined significance level,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ), so we will make a conclusion to reject the Null.

• If we reject the Null hypothesis, does it mean that Lady Bristol actually has the ability to identify them?

• We could still make a wrong decision. In fact, there are four situations:

	Accept H <sub>0</sub>	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
$H_0$ true	$\checkmark$	Type I Error
$H_0$ false	Type II Error	$\checkmark$

- Type I error:  $H_0$  true but we reject it.
- Type II error:  $H_0$  false but we accept it.

- Type I error can be controlled using the  $\alpha$  level we choose.
- 1 Type I error is called the confidence level

- Type I error can be controlled using the  $\alpha$  level we choose.
- 1 Type I error is called the confidence level
- Type II error is difficult to analyze because we don't know what the alternative may look like. For example, the lady may have 0.7 probability to identify a correct one, or 0.9, 0.51, etc. They all can have different Type II errors.
- 1 Type II error is called the power.

- · Statistics is a tool to analyze data and find patterns
- · However, statistics cannot provide a definitive answer
- · Definitive answers come from understanding the science

- Further reading (textbook): Sections 11.3.3 and 11.3.4
  - "Quantitative methods for health research: a practical interactive guide to epidemiology and statistics" by Nigel Bruce, Daniel Pope, Debbi Stanistreet. Hoboken, NJ:Wiley, 2018 2nd edition. Wiley Online Library [Download Link]
- Install RStudio and R